Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru Auditor General for Wales



### VFM Conclusion –Collaboration between Police Forces in Wales

# **Project brief**

Audit year: 2018-19 Issued: October 2019 Document reference: Final



# Status of report

This document has been prepared as part of work performed in accordance with statutory functions.

In the event of receiving a request for information to which this document may be relevant, attention is drawn to the Code of Practice issued under section 45 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The section 45 Code sets out the practice in the handling of requests that is expected of public authorities, including consultation with relevant third parties. In relation to this document, the Auditor General for Wales and the Wales Audit Office are relevant third parties. Any enquiries regarding disclosure or re-use of this document should be sent to the Wales Audit Office at info.officer@audit.wales.

This document has been produced on behalf of the Auditor General for Wales by Richard Harries, Tracy Veale and Jason Blewitt.

# Contents

| Project brief                |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| Situation                    | 4 |
| Overview of the work         | 5 |
| Audit Approach and Timescale | 6 |
| Document Request             | 6 |
| Wales Audit Office Contacts  | 8 |
| Appendix                     |   |
| Question Hierarchy           | 9 |
|                              |   |

# **Project brief**

### Situation

- 1. The Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 has placed new duties on Commissioners and Chief Officers to collaborate where it is in the interests of effectiveness of their own and other police force areas. This is a stronger duty than before, which required collaboration in the interests of their own force only. The update places new duties on chief officers and policing bodies to work together to collaborate.
- In October 2012, the Home Office published its <u>Statutory Guidance for Police</u> <u>Collaboration</u> which explains assessing the case for collaboration and determining the basis and scope.
- 3. In 2014 a <u>Memorandum of Understanding</u> was approved by an All Wales Policy Group setting out how joint governance and accountability arrangements for collaborative policing would be undertaken, including arrangements for oversight and scrutiny. It is the responsibility of each Commissioner to monitor and scrutinise the efficiency and effectiveness of each collaboration agreement with its own Chief Constable, which includes the spend of the collaboration agreement. Minutes of the joint oversight meetings should be made available on the Commissioner websites within ten working days of the meeting, to ensure transparency.
- 4. In 2018-19, the total gross revenue expenditure for the six significant collaborative service areas across Wales, was £47,853 million (£39,427 million in 2017-18; the Digital Service Division is new in 2018-19). This is summarised in Exhibit 1 below.

| Collaborative Service Area           | Pay<br>Expenditure<br>£000 | Non-Pay<br>Expenditure<br>£000 | Gross<br>Expenditure<br>£000 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| WECTU (3 sub-areas)                  | 7,969                      | 1,690                          | 9,659                        |
| TARIAN (2 sub-areas)                 | 10,380                     | 3,288                          | 13,668                       |
| Digital Services Division            | 3,813                      | 423                            | 4,236                        |
| Joint Legal Services                 | 1,258                      | 33                             | 1,291                        |
| Joint Scientific Investigations Unit | 5,317                      | 546                            | 5,863                        |
| Joint Firearms Unit                  | 11,480                     | 1,656                          | 13,136                       |
| TOTAL                                | 40,217                     | 7,636                          | 47,853                       |

### Exhibit 1 Analysis of gross expenditure by collaborative service area for 2018-19

### Overview of the work

5. Good Governance is essential for the effective stewardship of public money and the delivery of efficient public services, and for ensuring authorities' ability to continue to deliver services to meet statutory obligations and the needs of local communities. It will help authorities take the right decisions for the short, medium and long term.

- 6. It is the responsibility of the Auditor General for Wales to satisfy himself that Police bodies have made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.
- 7. In previous years, we have reviewed the corporate arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources at each of the four Welsh police bodies. Whilst we will still undertake this work locally at each force, this year we have decided to undertake a more focused piece of work, supplementing this work and reviewing the arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness when undertaking collaborative working arrangements to answer the question: 'Are there sound arrangements to support good governance and the efficient, effective and economical use of resources for the Collaboration of Welsh Police Forces?'
- **8.** The purpose of this briefing paper is to provide a summary of the work that we plan to undertake, to enable the Auditor General to discharge his responsibilities in this area.
- **9.** The questions for this review, included in Appendix 1, focus on whether there are arrangements appropriate to:
  - establish objectives determining policy and decision making;
  - meet the needs of users, stakeholders and the local population;
  - monitor and review performance;
  - comply with established policies;
  - manage operational and financial risks;
  - manage financial and other resources; and
  - ensure proper standards of conduct.
- **10.** Whilst we acknowledge that North Wales Police also collaborate with neighbouring English police forces, the findings from this work along with any lessons learnt, should be transferable to collaborative arrangements between North Wales Police and it's English partners.

### Audit Approach and Timescale

#### Exhibit 2 – Audit Approach and Timescale

| Audit Approach  | Timescale   |
|---|---|
| Completion of Self Assessment by the Chief Constable (CC) and the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) at each force:  |   |
| <ul> <li>Chief Finance Officers(CFO) for each Force (CC) to complete<br/>one draft self assessment jointly with Senior Officers<br/>responsible for collaboration.</li> </ul>   | October 2019  |
| <ul> <li>Completed draft self assessment to be presented to CFOs and<br/>Chief Executive Officers (CEO) for each PCC at Welsh<br/>Finance and Resource Group (WFRG).</li> </ul> | 14 November 2019  |
| • Finalise self assessment at Joint Advisory Group (JAG).   | 20 November 2019  |
| • Final review of self assessment by Senior Officers responsible  | Between 20 and  |
| for collaboration.  | 30 November 2019  |
| • Completed self assessment to be submitted to the Wales Audit Office for review.   | 30 November 2019  |
| Wales Audit Office to attend WFRG and JAG to enable continuous engagements as completion of self assessment progresses.   | 14 and 20 November 2019   |
| Document Review (socuments to be gathered centrally by single Police body).   | Mid-October to mid-<br>November 2019  |
| Review of processes in practice – focussing on the Joint Firearms Unit.   | Mid-October to mid-<br>November 2019  |
| Focus Group with key staff from the four forces to discuss<br>emerging conclusions arising from the work and determine next<br>steps.   | By mid-December 2019<br>(possibly extend to January<br>2020 depending on<br>staff/officer availability) |
| Issue Action Plan.  | Within three weeks of Focus Group   |

**11.** This work, alongside a high-level review of overall arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness at each force will be undertaken within the combined value for money element of the planned audit fees for 2018-19.

### **Document Request**

12. Prior to the Wales Audit Office attendance at the November WFRG and JAG meetings, we will undertake a review of documents identified in Exhibit 3 and would be grateful if these documents could be collated and sent to us (tracy.veale@audit.wales) by 25 October 2019. We would also be grateful if you could supply us with any additional documents which you feel may be relevant to our work in this area. This list is not exhaustive and requests for additional documents may be made during the course of our enquiries.

### Exhibit 3 – Initial document request

| Document Title   |
|--|
| 1. Organisational Structure and Committee Structure for Collaboration  |
| 2. Terms of Reference of Boards and Committees   |
| 3. Agenda (not papers at this stage) and Minutes – latest available  |
| 4. Register of Interests   |
| 5. Signed Memorandum of Understanding between the four forces  |
| <ol> <li>Constitution/Standing Orders (or equivalent) and procedures in place for Collaboration (eg for<br/>financial management, sign off of projects etc)</li> </ol>                           |
| 7. Scheme of Delegation  |
| 8. Code of Conduct   |
| 9. Anti-Fraud and Corruption and Whistleblowing Policy   |
| 10.Collaboration Risk Register - latest available and Risk Management Strategy   |
| 11.Strategic Plan (or equivalent) for Collaboration – Medium Term and Annual Plan 2019-20  |
| 12.Asset Management Strategy or equivalent for Collaboration   |
| 13.Workforce Strategy or equivalent for Collaboration (and individual Collaboration Projects if applicable)  |
| 14.Medium Term Financial Plan for Collaboration - latest   |
| 15.Financial Strategy – 2019-20  |
| 16. Financial monitoring reports - 2018-19 year-end and latest report for 2019-20  |
| 17.Savings plans and savings monitoring reports - 2018-19 year-end and latest report for 2019-20   |
| 18.Annual Budget Report – 2019-20  |
| 19.Operational Plans for individual Collaboration Projects   |
| 20.Internal Audit Plan for Collaboration   |
| 21.For each collaboration project selected for walkthrough:-   |
| i) Business Case   |
| ii) Approval and sign off of the Business Case (and minutes to support)  |
| iii) Signed S22 Agreement  |
| <ul> <li>iv) Finance and Performance Reports and relevant minutes to confirm monitoring</li> <li>v) Report (and minutes) to report on the outcomes from and conclusion of the project</li> </ul> |
| v) Report (and minutes) to report on the outcomes from and conclusion of the project   |

### Wales Audit Office Contacts

#### Exhibit 4 – Wales Audit Office Contacts

This sets out the key Wales Audit Office Contacts for the work.

| Name                     | Contact details |                             |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Financial Audit Director | Richard Harries | richard.harries@audit.wales |
| Financial Audit Manager  | Tracy Veale     | tracy.veale@audit.wales     |

### **Question Hierarchy**

### Exhibit 5 – Study questions

| Level 1  | Prompts  | Self evaluation | Evidence |
|--|--|-----------------|----------|
| 1.1 Is there a<br>clear and robust<br>approach to<br>strategic and<br>operational<br>planning for the<br>Collaboration of<br>Welsh Police<br>Forces? | <ul> <li>a) Is it clear what the Collaboration of Welsh Police Forces is intending to deliver?</li> <li>b) Is there a clear strategic and operational plan for the Collaboration of Welsh Police Forces?</li> <li>c) Does this cover the short and medium term?</li> <li>d) Has this been appropriately approved?</li> <li>e) Has there been consideration of whether there is sufficient capability and capacity to properly discharge collaboration agenda/plan?</li> <li>f) Where gaps in planning capacity and capability have been identified, is action being taken to address them?</li> <li>g) Are there effective assurance arrangements in place to monitor, and review organisation-wide effectiveness of the plan's delivery?</li> </ul> |                 |          |
| 1.2 Is there a<br>structure in place<br>to support good<br>corporate<br>governance and   | <ul><li>a) Has the Home Office Statutory Guidance for Police<br/>Collaboration been complied with?</li><li>b) Are there arrangements in place to ensure that the<br/>Memorandum of Understanding between the 4 forces is<br/>monitored and complied with?</li></ul>  |                 |          |

| Level 1  | Prompts  | Self evaluation | Evidence |
|--|--|-----------------|----------|
| the delivery of the<br>collaboration's<br>strategic<br>objectives?         | <ul> <li>c) Is there an organisational structure in place to support<br/>Collaboration of Welsh Police Forces?</li> <li>d) Is there a Collaboration Board (the Board) and a<br/>Collaboration Committee (the Committee) structure in place<br/>to support the scrutiny of decisions?</li> <li>e) Does the Board and Committees meet on a regular basis<br/>and are the meetings attended by sufficient numbers of<br/>appropriate attendees for decisions to be made?</li> <li>f) Do officers and staff with collaboration responsibilities have<br/>adequate capacity to deliver?</li> <li>g) Are relationships amongst members and senior officials of<br/>the Board and Committees constructive and effective?</li> <li>h) Is there openness and transparency in the manner that the<br/>Board operates?</li> <li>i) Is there a scheme of delegation in place for the Collaboration<br/>of Welsh Police Forces?</li> <li>j) Is there openness, transparency and clarity in the manner in<br/>which decisions are made?</li> <li>k) Are Police and Crime Commissioners sufficiently involved in<br/>the governance arrangements for the Collaboration of Welsh<br/>Police Forces?</li> </ul> |                 |          |
| 1.3 Are there<br>robust systems in<br>place to consider<br>and approve new | a) Is there a robust options appraisal process to evaluate and agree whether to pursue a new Collaboration project, which considers the three E's?   |                 |          |

| Level 1  | Prompts   | Self evaluation | Evidence |
|--|---|-----------------|----------|
| Collaboration<br>projects?   | <ul> <li>b) Are there processes to clarify the legality of pursuing new activity?</li> <li>c) Are there procedures in place for the appropriate sign off and approval for new activities?</li> <li>d) Are there clear governance and accountability arrangements for overseeing how new activities are managed?</li> <li>e) Is there monitoring and evaluation of the impact the activity has to ensure that it is delivering what is intended and has not resulted in unintended impacts?</li> <li>f) Are there processes to identify, understand and manage the risks of the new activity?</li> </ul> |                 |          |
| 1.4 Are roles and<br>responsibilities for<br>the Collaboration<br>of Welsh Police<br>Forces clear and<br>adhered to? | <ul> <li>a) Does the Board and Committees have their own agreed and approved Terms of Reference?</li> <li>b) Are there clear, formal and agreed schemes of delegation which encourage accountability and avoid unnecessary complexity?</li> <li>c) Does the Board operate effectively in terms of administration and conduct?</li> <li>d) Are roles and responsibilities for officers and committees clearly defined?</li> <li>e) Have these been approved?</li> </ul>  |                 |          |
| 1.5 Are there<br>effective<br>assurance  | a) Is there effective oversight and scrutiny of the required assurances through the committee structure?  |                 | -        |

| Level 1  | Prompts  | Self evaluation | Evidence |
|--|--|-----------------|----------|
| arrangements in<br>place for the<br>Collaboration of<br>Welsh Police<br>Forces?  | <ul><li>b) Are sub-committees operating effectively and supporting the flow of assurance from an operational level to the Board?</li><li>c) Is there evidence that decisions are well documented and supported and communicated (including the rationale, considerations on which they are based and approval)?</li></ul>  |                 |          |
| 1.6 Does the<br>Collaboration of<br>Welsh Police<br>Forces have a<br>sound approach<br>to risk<br>management?              | <ul><li>a) Is there a Risk Management Strategy/Policy in place?</li><li>b) Is there a Risk Register which is regularly monitored and scrutinised by the Board/Committee?</li></ul>   |                 |          |
| 1.7 Does the<br>Collaboration of<br>Welsh Police<br>Forces have a<br>clear internal<br>control<br>environment in<br>place? | <ul> <li>a) Are there Standing Orders in place?</li> <li>b) Is there a Scheme of Delegation in place?</li> <li>c) Is there a robust Internal Audit service in place which provides adequate coverage of the Collaboration Plan/Agenda and regularly reports?</li> <li>d) Are there arrangements to ensure decisions comply with laws and regulations?</li> </ul> |                 |          |
| 1.8 Has the<br>Collaboration of<br>Welsh Police<br>Forces put proper   | <ul><li>a) Do key officers in each Force receive performance<br/>information on a timely basis?</li><li>b) Does the Board and Committees receive information on<br/>performance against the Corporate Plan on a regular basis?</li></ul>   |                 |          |

| Level 1   | Prompts  | Self evaluation | Evidence |
|---|--|-----------------|----------|
| arrangements in<br>place for<br>monitoring and<br>reviewing its<br>performance?   | <ul><li>c) Is there evidence that actions are taken?</li><li>d) Are finance and performance reports linked?</li></ul>  |                 |          |
| 1.9 Has the<br>Collaboration of<br>Welsh Police<br>Forces put proper<br>arrangements in<br>place for financial<br>management? | <ul> <li>Financial Strategy <ul> <li>a) Does the Board have a clear and robust financial strategy? Is it based on detailed and accurate assumptions on: <ul> <li>i) demand pressures;</li> <li>ii) cost pressures; and</li> <li>iii) projected amount of revenue and capital resources.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Budget Setting <ul> <li>a) Is there a short-term and medium-term budget in place which is approved in line with procedures?</li> </ul> </li> <li>b) Are budgets shaped by a clear long-term strategy and linked to the strategic plan and other plans?</li> <li>c) Are roles and responsibilities clear and understood?</li> <li>d) Are there clear and reasonable budget assumptions?</li> <li>e) Are risks clearly identified?</li> <li>f) Was there robust board challenge and sign off of budget by the Board and the four forces?</li> </ul> </li> <li>g) Does past performance against budget provide evidence of satisfactory budget setting?</li> </ul> |                 |          |

| Level 1 | Prompts  | Self evaluation | Evidence |
|---------|--|-----------------|----------|
|         | Budget Monitoring and Reporting  |                 |          |
|         | <ul> <li>Are there clear roles and responsibilities for budget<br/>monitoring and reporting?</li> </ul>  |                 |          |
|         | b) Is there an executive lead and central co-ordination role?  |                 |          |
|         | c) Is there a standardised format for budget reporting across all<br>collaboration projects to ensure consistency is achieved in<br>respect of report format, content and reporting frequency? |                 |          |
|         | d) Is reporting timely and to deadline?  |                 |          |
|         | e) Is there continuity between monthly reports?  |                 |          |
|         | f) Are timely and regular meetings held with appropriate staff to discuss variances?   |                 |          |
|         | g) Are issues flagged up and responded openly and<br>transparently?  |                 |          |
|         | h) Are non-finance staff fully engaged in the reporting and<br>monitoring process?   |                 |          |
|         | <ul> <li>Are root causes of variances identified and potential<br/>solutions identified?</li> </ul>  |                 |          |
|         | j) In its scrutiny of new service plans does the Board consider<br>financial costing/implications?   |                 |          |
|         | k) Are service line reporting and other appraisals used to<br>evaluate performance/decision making?  |                 |          |
|         | Financial Performance  |                 |          |
|         | <ul> <li>a) Is there a track record that the collaboration projects deliver<br/>as forecast? If not, are there good reasons for non delivery,<br/>ie significant unforeseen issues?</li> </ul> |                 |          |

| Level 1 | Prompts   | Self evaluation | Evidence |
|---------|---|-----------------|----------|
|         | b) Where are the greatest cost pressures?   |                 |          |
|         | c) Is there a trend of overspending/underspending in specific<br>service areas in recent years? If there are trends of<br>overspending in specific service areas, what does the<br>council plan to do to address this?          |                 |          |
|         | d) Are collaboration projects set key performance indicators,<br>against which they are measured in order to demonstrate<br>achievement of value for money?   |                 |          |
|         | <ul> <li>e) Are collaboration projects self-reliant in delivering as planned<br/>or do they need significant financial help to do so?</li> </ul>  |                 |          |
|         | f) Do collaboration projects reduce activity around year-end?   |                 |          |
|         | g) Do collaboration projects rely on additional funding beyond<br>its initial allocation?   |                 |          |
|         | <ul> <li>h) Are collaboration projects set efficiency savings targets? If<br/>so, how are savings identified, and how is achievement of<br/>these savings monitored?</li> </ul>   |                 |          |
|         | i) Is there a trend of delivering/not delivering planned savings?   |                 |          |
|         | j) How realistic are savings plans?   |                 |          |
|         | <ul> <li>k) Are savings plans based on robust assumptions and data?</li> <li>l) How confident is the Board that the Collaboration projects will be able to deliver its planned savings targets over the medium term?</li> </ul> |                 |          |
|         | m) Are reviews undertaken to assess the impact on quality of<br>proposed collaboration projects and give proper<br>consideration to findings? If so who undertakes these  |                 |          |

| Level 1   | Prompts  | Self evaluation | Evidence |
|---|--|-----------------|----------|
|   | <ul><li>reviews and where is the outcome of these reviews reported?</li><li>n) Is capital spend prioritised appropriately?</li></ul>   |                 |          |
| 1.10 Does the<br>Collaboration of<br>Welsh Police<br>Forces have<br>proper<br>arrangements in<br>place to promote<br>and ensure<br>probity and<br>propriety in the<br>conduct of its<br>business? | <ul> <li>a) Is there an approved Code of Conduct in place?</li> <li>b) Is there adequate training for officers and members?</li> <li>c) Is there an up-to-date Register of Interests?</li> <li>d) Is there an Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy and Whistleblowing Policy?</li> </ul> |                 |          |

Wales Audit Office 24 Cathedral Road Cardiff CF11 9LJ

Tel: 029 2032 0500 Fax: 029 2032 0600 Textphone: 029 2032 0660 Swyddfa Archwilio Cymru 24 Heol y Gadeirlan Caerdydd CF11 9LJ

Ffôn: 029 2032 0500 Ffacs: 029 2032 0600 Ffôn Testun: 029 2032 0660

E-mail: info@audit.wales Website: www.audit.wales E-bost: post@archwilio.cymru Gwefan: www.archwilio.cymru