PCC's Police Community Fund Recommendation Paper

Project Name:	Stop Stabbing Start Jabbin
Organisation:	Alway ABC
Summary of Applicant and Application: E.g. **a) What is the intervention?** **b) Who are they working with (other organisations etc)** **c) What outcomes do they want to achieve?** **d) Where and when will it be delivered?** **e) Who are the beneficiaries (include age range)**	a) Boxing / mentoring programmes that serves youth ages 9 – 17 and 18 – 25 and vulnerable adults. After boxing sessions the students join a circle for motivational discussions and a space where they can vent frustrations / brainstorm productive solutions to everyday problems. b) Service users will be referred to their services using a multi agency delivery programme that would ensure that community safety partnerships would make the recommendation. The police force has representation at all levels of partnership working Work with agencies in the CJS, local government and other providers e.g Courts, Home Office, MOJ, HM Prisons and Probation. c) Improved self esteem for participants, crime prevention by focusing on methods to reduce opportunities for crime. Championing victims rights and issues. d) Always ABC e) 8 to 25 year olds and vulnerable adults
Overall Application Quality:	This project tags onto an existing boxing club, the LPA Chief Inspector likes that the boxing is essentially free and backed up with a session addressing young peoples issues. Panel acknowledge that this project has a good community feel to it. What they are looking to achieve is really good, there is potential that they could get Safer Newport linked in to feed the messages into the sessions after the boxing e.g. so that they are sighted on the priorities are for Newport. So that those themes could feature as a topic of after session. Would want to ensure this project was open to girls as well as boys – whilst the application seems to refer to boys a lot it does state that both males and females are able to attend. Boxing clubs do bring the young people in and are a good avenue of release for young people. Similar initiatives have been seen in other forces that have been a success. Overall

	the Panel is supportive – there is a genuine need in this area. As a diversionary initiative it is something that we can't deliver ourselves but its also appealing to the young people. Does seem worth funding a 1 yr pilot but would need to make sure its targeted to the children we need it targeted for via YOS and Youth Service.
How Much Funding Requested:	Year 1: £20,000 Year 2: £20,000 Year 3: £10,000 Overall Total: £50,000
Summary of Key Risks and Policy or Funding Issues	The overall expenditure looks like it's not been costed properly – need further clarity around that. As they are an existing club already its unclear why they will necessarily need the amount requested? What does the consultancy actually mean? Manufacturing costs? What is this for?
Recommendation for funding:	☐ Fully Fund 3 Years ☐ Fully Fund 2 Years √ Fully Fund 1 Year ☐ Partially Fund 3 Years, Amount £_____ ☐ Partially Fund 2 Years, Amount £_____ ☐ Partially Fund 1 Year, Amount £_____ ☐ No Funding to be Awarded
Additional Conditions Required for the Award (if appropriate):	Link to YOS and Youth Service for referrals
Amount Recommended to be Funded Overall:	£20,000 for pilot of project - Yr 2 and 3 funding to be declined, project can always re-apply again in the future but funding not to be ring fenced.
Any Additional Notes:	
PCC Response to Recommendation:	Content with recommendation.

PCC Final Decision:	
Main Reason Application Declined (where appropriate):	